

A contribution to the Zarankiewicz problem

Vladimir Nikiforov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis,
Memphis, TN 38152, USA, email: *vnikifrv@memphis.edu*

March 31, 2009

Abstract

Given positive integers m, n, s, t , let $z(m, n, s, t)$ be the maximum number of ones in a $(0, 1)$ matrix of size $m \times n$ that does not contain an all ones submatrix of size $s \times t$. We show that if $s \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$, then for every $k = 0, \dots, s - 2$,

$$z(m, n, s, t) \leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + kn + (t - 1) m^{1+k/t}.$$

This generic bound implies the known bounds of Kövari, Sós and Turán, and of Füredi. As a consequence, we also obtain the following results:

Let G be a graph of n vertices and $e(G)$ edges, and let μ be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix. If G does not contain a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{s,t}$, then the following bounds hold

$$\mu \leq (s - t + 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) n^{1-2/t} + t - 2,$$

and

$$e(G) < \frac{1}{2} (s - t + 1)^{1/t} n^{2-1/t} + \frac{1}{2} (t - 1) n^{2-2/t} + \frac{1}{2} (t - 2) n.$$

Keywords: *bipartite subgraphs; Zarankiewicz problem; spectral radius.*

AMS classification: *05C50*

Introduction

How large can be the spectral radius μ of a graph order n that does not contain a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{s,t}$? This is a spectral version of the famous Zarankiewicz problem: *how many edges can have a graph of order n if it does not contain $K_{s,t}$?* Except for few cases, no satisfactory solution to either of these problems is known. In an unpublished pioneering work, Babai and Guiduli (see, e.g., [7]) have shown that

$$\mu \leq \left((s - 1)^{1/t} + o(1) \right) n^{1-1/t}.$$

Using a different method, here we improve this result as follows:

Theorem 1 *Let $s \geq t \geq 2$, and let G be a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph of order n and spectral radius μ . If $t = 2$, then*

$$\mu \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{(s-1)(n-1) + 1/4}. \quad (1)$$

If $t \geq 3$, then

$$\mu \leq (s-t+1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + (t-1) n^{1-2/t} + t-2. \quad (2)$$

Below we show that the bounds (1) and (2) are tight for some values of s and t . On the other hand, in view of the inequality $2e(G) \leq \mu n$, we see that if G is a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph of order n , then

$$e(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} (s-t+1)^{1/t} n^{2-1/t} + \frac{1}{2} (t-1) n^{2-2/t} + \frac{1}{2} (t-2) n. \quad (3)$$

This is a slight improvement of a result of Füredi [5].

To prove Theorem 1, we first find a family of new upper bounds for the matrix Zarankiewicz problem, thereby extending some previous results.

The matrix Zarankiewicz problem

Let $J_{s,t}$ denote the all ones matrix of size $s \times t$. Given positive integers m, n, s, t , let $z(m, n, s, t)$ be the maximum number of ones in a $(0, 1)$ matrix of size $m \times n$ that does not contain $J_{s,t}$ as a submatrix.

Here is an equivalent definition: $z(m, n, s, t)$ is the maximum number of edges in a bipartite graph G with vertex classes A of size n and B of size m such that G does not contain a copy of $K_{s,t}$ with vertex class of size s in A and vertex class of size t in B .

The problem of finding $z(m, n, s, t)$ is known as the general Zarankiewicz problem. In [8], Kövari, Sós and Turán gave one of the earliest bounds on $z(m, n, s, t)$, which in simplified form reads as

$$z(m, n, s, t) \leq (s-1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + (t-1) m. \quad (4)$$

Later, Füredi [5] improved this bound showing that if $s \geq t$, then

$$z(m, n, s, t) \leq (s-t+1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + t m^{2-2/t} + t n. \quad (5)$$

The proof of Füredi, although rather involved, is based on double counting as in [8]. Using a different approach, we show that, in fact, (5) and (4) are particular cases of a whole sequence of subtler bounds on $z(m, n, s, t)$. Instead of using double counting, we start with (4) and deduce by induction a number of inequalities, one of which implies (5). The following theorem gives the precise statement.

Theorem 2 *If $s \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$, then for every $k = 0, \dots, s-2$,*

$$z(m, n, s, t) \leq (s-k-1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + (t-1) m^{1+k/t} + k n. \quad (6)$$

Given (6), letting $k = 0$, we obtain the bound of Kövari, Sós and Turán (4). Also, if $s \geq t$, letting $k = t - 2$, we obtain

$$z(m, n, s, t) < (s - t + 1)^{1/t} nm^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) m^{2-2/t} + (t - 2) n,$$

which is a slight improvement of Füredi's bound (5).

At first glance it is unclear whether the parameter k is really useful in inequality (6). Indeed, for $n = m$, setting $k = \min\{s, t\} - 2$ gives the best inequality for n large enough. However, for arbitrary n and m , the parameter k can give additional improvement, as shown in the following proposition, whose proof is omitted.

Proposition 3 *Let $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq 3$, and $0 \leq k \leq s - 2$. There exist $A = A(s, t, k) > 0$ and $B = B(s, t, k) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n and m satisfying*

$$Am^{(k+1)/t} \leq n \leq Bm^{(k+2)/t},$$

we have

$$(s - k - 1)^{1/t} nm^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) m^{1+k/t} + kn < (s - i - 1)^{1/t} nm^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) m^{1+i/t} + in$$

for all $i \in [0, s - 2] \setminus \{k\}$.

Tightness of the bounds (1) and (2)

For some values of s and t the bounds given by (1) and (2) are tight.

The case $t = 2$

For $s = t = 2$ inequality (1) gives that every $K_{2,2}$ -free graph G of order n satisfies

$$\mu(G) \leq 1/2 + \sqrt{n - 3/4}.$$

This bound is tight: equality holds for the friendship graph. Note that letting q be a prime power, the Erdős-Renyi polarity graph is a $K_{2,2}$ -free graph of order $n = q^2 + q + 1$ and $q(q + 1)^2/2$ edges. Thus, its spectral radius $\mu(ER_q)$ satisfies

$$\mu(ER_q) \geq \frac{q^3 + 2q^2 + q}{q^2 + q + 1} > q + 1 - \frac{1}{q} = 1/2 + \sqrt{n - 3/4} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} - 1},$$

which is also close to the upper bound.

For $s > 2$, equality in (1) is attained when G is a strongly regular graph in which every two vertices have exactly $s - 1$ common neighbors. There are examples of strongly regular graphs of this type; here is a small selection from Gordon Royle's webpage:

s	n	$\mu(G)$
3	45	12
4	96	20
5	175	30
6	36	15

We are not aware whether there are infinitely many strongly regular graphs in which every two vertices have the same number of common neighbors. However, Füredi [6] has shown that for any n there exist $K_{s,2}$ -free graph G_n of order n such that

$$e(G_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{sn} + O(n^{4/3}),$$

and so,

$$\mu(G_n) \geq \sqrt{sn} + O(n^{1/3});$$

thus (1) is tight up to low order terms.

The case $s = t = 3$

The bound (2) implies that if G is a $K_{3,3}$ -free graph of order n , then

$$\mu(G) \leq n^{2/3} + 2n^{1/3} + 1.$$

On the other hand, a construction due to Alon, Rónyai and Szabò [1] implies that for all $n = q^3 - q^2$, where q is a prime power, there exists a $K_{3,3}$ -free graph G_n of order n with

$$\mu(G_n) \geq n^{2/3} + \frac{2}{3}n^{1/3} + C$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Thus, the bound (2) is asymptotically tight for $s = t = 3$. The same conclusion can be obtained from Brown's construction of $K_{3,3}$ -free graphs [3].

The general case

As proved in [1], there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 2$ and $s \geq (t-1)! + 1$, there is a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph G_n of order n with

$$e(G_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{2-1/t} + O(n^{2-1/t-c}).$$

Hence, for such s and t we have

$$\mu(G) \geq n^{1-1/t} + O(n^{1-1/t-c});$$

thus, the bound (2) and the earlier bound of Babai and Guiduli give the correct order of the main term.

Proof of Theorem 2

Some matrix notation Let $|X|$ denote the cardinality of a finite set X . Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $(0,1)$ -matrix, and let the rows and columns of A be indexed by the elements of two disjoint sets $R(A)$ and $C(A)$. Then:

- for any $i \in R$, we let $C_i = \{j : j \in C(A), a_{ij} = 1\}$ and set $r_i = |C_i|$;

- for any $j \in C$, we let $R_i = \{i : i \in R(A), a_{ij} = 1\}$ and set $c_j = |R_j|$;
- $\|A\|$ stands for the sum of the entries of A ;
- given nonempty sets $I \subset R(A)$, $J \subset C(A)$, we write $A[I, J]$ for the submatrix of the entries a_{ij} satisfying $i \in I$, $j \in J$.

Proof of Theorem 2 We shall use induction on k . For $k = 0$, the assertion is given by (4). Suppose $k \geq 1$ and assume the assertion true for all $k' < k$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $(0, 1)$ -matrix of size $m \times n$, and let $R = R(A)$, $C = C(A)$. Suppose that A does not contain $J_{s,t}$ as a submatrix and that $k \leq s - 2$. Our goal is to prove that

$$\|A\| \leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} nm^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) m^{1+k/t} + kn.$$

Select $i \in R$ and define the sets

$$U = R \setminus \{i\}, \quad W = C_i.$$

Note that the matrix $A[U, W]$ does not contain $J_{s-1,t}$ as a submatrix since the i 'th row of $A[R, W]$ consists of all ones and we would have a $J_{s,t}$ in A . Therefore,

$$\|A[U, W]\| \leq z(|U|, |W|, s - 1, t),$$

and by the induction assumption applied for $s - 1$ and $k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A[U, W]\| &\leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} |W| |U|^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) |U|^{1+(k-1)/t} + (k - 1) |W| \\ &\leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} r_i m^{1-1/t} + (t - 1) m^{1+(k-1)/t} + (k - 1) r_i. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

A closer look at $A[U, W]$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A[U, W]\| &= \sum_{j \in C_i} \sum_{k \in R \setminus \{i\}} a_{kj} = \sum_{j \in C} a_{ij} \sum_{k \in R \setminus \{i\}} a_{kj} = \sum_{j \in C} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} - \sum_{j \in C} a_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j \in C} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} - r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the value of $\|A[U, W]\|$ in (7), we see that

$$\sum_{j \in C} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right) r_i + (t - 1) m^{1+(k-1)/t} \leq 0.$$

Summing this inequality for all $i \in R$, we get

$$\sum_{i \in R} \sum_{j \in C} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right) \|A\| + (t - 1) m^{2+(k-1)/t} \leq 0.$$

Now note that

$$\sum_{i \in R} \sum_{j \in C} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} = \sum_{j \in C} \sum_{i \in R} \sum_{k \in R} a_{ij} a_{kj} = \sum_{j \in C} r_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \|A\|^2,$$

and so,

$$\frac{1}{n} \|A\|^2 - \left((s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right) \|A\| - (t-1) m^{2+(k-1)/t} \leq 0.$$

Solving this inequality, we find that

$$\|A\| \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(t-1)m^{2+(k-1)/t}}{n \left((s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right)^2}} \right) \frac{\left((s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right) n}{2}$$

and bounding the radical by the Bernoulli inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &\leq \left(1 + 1 + \frac{2(t-1)m^{2+(k-1)/t}}{n \left((s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right)^2} \right) \frac{\left((s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k \right) n}{2} \\ &= (s-k-1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + kn + \frac{(t-1)m^{2+(k-1)/t}}{(s-k-1)^{1/t} m^{1-1/t} + k} \\ &\leq (s-k-1)^{1/t} n m^{1-1/t} + (t-1)m^{1+k/t} + kn. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction step and the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

Stated in terms of bipartite graphs, Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following one:

Theorem 4 *Let $s \geq 2$, $t \geq 2$, $0 \leq k \leq s-2$, and let $G(A, B)$ be a bipartite graph with parts A and B . Suppose that G contains no copy of $K_{s,t}$ with a vertex class of size s in A and a vertex class of size t in B . Then $G(A, B)$ has at most*

$$(s-k-1)^{1/t} |B| |A|^{1-1/t} + (t-1) |A|^{1+k/t} + k |B|$$

edges.

The proof of Theorem 1

Some graph notation Our graph notation follows [2]; in particular, given a graph G and a vertex u of G , we write:

- $V(G)$ for the vertex set of G ;
- $E(G)$ for the edge set of G and $e(G)$ for $|E(G)|$;
- $G-u$ for the graph obtained from G by removing the vertex u .
- $\Gamma(u)$ for the set of neighbors of u and $d(u)$ for $|\Gamma(u)|$.

Proof of Theorem 1 Inequality (1) has been proved in [9], so we shall assume that $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq 3$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be any vertex of G , let U and W be disjoint sets satisfying $|U| = d(u)$ and $|W| = n-1$, and let φ_U and φ_W be bijections

$$\varphi_U : U \rightarrow \Gamma(u), \quad \varphi_W : W \rightarrow V(G) \setminus \{u\}.$$

Define a bipartite graph H with vertex classes U and W by joining $v \in U$ and $w \in W$ whenever $\{\varphi_U(v), \varphi_W(w)\} \in E(G)$.

We claim that H does not contain a copy of $K_{t,s-1}$ with $s-1$ vertices in W and t vertices in U . Indeed, the map $\psi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$ defined as

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_U(x) & \text{if } x \in U \\ \varphi_W(x) & \text{if } x \in W \end{cases}$$

is a homomorphism of H into $G - v$. Assume for a contradiction that $F \subset H$ is a copy of $K_{t,s-1}$ with a set S of $s-1$ vertices in W and a set T of t vertices in U . Clearly S and T are the vertex classes of F . Note that $\psi(F)$ is a copy of $K_{t,s-1}$ in $G - u$, and $\psi(T) = \varphi_U(T) \subset \Gamma_G(u)$ is the vertex class of $\psi(F)$ of size t ; now, adding u to $\psi(F)$, we see that G contains a $K_{t,s}$, a contradiction proving the claim.

Suppose that $0 \leq k \leq \min\{s, t\} - 2$. Setting $k' = k - 1$, $s' = s - 1$, $t' = t$, $A = W$, $B = U$, Theorem 4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e(H) &\leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} |U| |W|^{1-1/t} + (k - 1) |U| + (t - 1) |W|^{1+(k-1)/t} \\ &\leq (s - k - 1)^{1/t} d(u) n^{1-1/t} + (k - 1) d(u) + (t - 1) n^{1+(k-1)/t}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$e(H) = \sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) - d(u),$$

and so,

$$\sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) \leq \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + k \right) d(u) + (t - 1) n^{1+(k-1)/t}. \quad (8)$$

Letting A be the adjacency matrix of G , note that the u 'th row sum of the matrix

$$C = A^2 - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + k \right) A$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{v \in \Gamma(u)} d(v) - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + k \right) d(u);$$

consequently, the maximum row sum $r_{\max}$ of C satisfies

$$r_{\max} \leq (t - 1) n^{1+(k-1)/t}.$$

Letting $\mathbf{x}$ be an eigenvector of A to μ , we see that the value

$$\lambda = \mu^2 - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + k \right) \mu$$

is an eigenvalue of C with eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$. Therefore,

$$\mu^2 - \left((s - k - 1)^{1/t} n^{1-1/t} + k \right) \mu = \lambda \leq r_{\max} \leq (t - 1) n^{1+(k-1)/t}.$$

Solving this inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(t-1)n^{1+(k-1)/t}}{\left((s-k-1)^{1/t}n^{1-1/t} + k\right)^2}} \right) \frac{(s-k-1)^{1/t}n^{1-1/t} + k}{2} \\
&\leq \left(1 + 1 + \frac{2(t-1)n^{1+(k-1)/t}}{\left((s-k-1)^{1/t}n^{1-1/t} + k\right)^2} \right) \frac{(s-k-1)^{1/t}n^{1-1/t} + k}{2} \\
&\leq (s-k-1)^{1/t}n^{1-1/t} + (t-1)n^{k/t} + k.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $s \geq t \geq 3$, setting $k = t - 2$, we obtain inequality (2), completing the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

Acknowledgement Thanks are due to László Babai for details on his work with B. Guiduli, and to Tibor Szabò for pointing out the relevance of norm-graphs to the present topic.

References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, T. Szabò, Norm-graphs: variations and applications, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **76** (1999), 280-290.
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998), xiv+394 pp.
- [3] W.G. Brown, On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **9** (1966), 281–285.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, On a problem in the theory of graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.* **7A** (1962), 623–641.
- [5] Z. Füredi, An upper bound on Zarankiewicz’s problem, *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **5** (1996), 29-33.
- [6] Z. Füredi, New Asymptotics for Bipartite Turán Numbers, *Journal Combin. Theory Ser A* **75** (1996), 141-144.
- [7] B. Guiduli, Spectral Extrema of Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1996.
- [8] T. Kövari, V. Sós, P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, *Colloquium Math.* **3** (1954), 50–57.
- [9] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427** (2007) 183-189.